APPEAL NO. 020945 FILED MAY 29, 2002

This appeal arises	pursuant to the Tex	as Workers' Cor	mpensation Act, 1	EX. LAB
CODE ANN. § 401.001 e	t seq. (1989 Act). A	contested case	hearing was held	on March
21, 2002. With respect t	o the issues before	him, the hearing	officer determine	d that the
respondent (claimant) su	stained a compensal	ble injury on	, and tha	at she had
disability from	, through the date	e of the hearing.	In its appeal, the	appellan
(carrier) asserts error in the	nose determinations	. The claimant re	esponds, urging a	ffirmance

DECISION

Affirmed.

The claimant had the burden to prove that she was injured in the course and scope of her employment and that she had disability as defined by Section 401.011(16). Conflicting evidence was presented at the hearing. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the finder of fact, the hearing officer resolves the conflicts in the evidence and determines what facts have been established. The hearing officer's determinations that the claimant sustained a compensable injury and that she had disability from _______, through the date of the hearing are supported by the claimant's testimony and by the medical reports. The hearing officer's decision is supported by sufficient evidence and is not so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Thus, no sound basis exists for us to reverse the decision on appeal. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986).

The carrier also contends that the hearing officer erred "as a matter of law" in finding that the claimant sustained a compensable injury because the injury was "idiopathic in nature." We cannot agree that the evidence established that the claimant's injury was "idiopathic." To the contrary, the evidence demonstrates that the claimant tripped over a mail cart and fell to the floor. That evidence establishes the causal connection between the claimant's employment and her injury. We have previously considered and rejected arguments similar to the one advanced by the carrier in this instance and do so here for the same reasons. See Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 020583, decided April 30, 2002; Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 990252, decided March 25, 1999; and Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 972235, decided December 17, 1997.

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

RON DODD STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY 8900 AMBERGLEN BOULEVARD AUSTIN, TEXAS 78729-1110.

Elaine M. Chaney
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

Gary L. Kilgore Appeals Judge

Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge